

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: ✓ Rapid Rehousing for Women and Families 2021 (54)
 Organization Name: YWCA of Bucks County
 Project Type: RRH (General)
 Project Identifier: 54

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE			
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	out of	15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	10	out of	10
C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	5	out of	5
Experience Subtotal	30	out of	30
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES			
A. Extent to which the applicant 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.	15	out of	15
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	10	out of	10
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5	out of	5
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	30	out of	30
TIMELINESS			
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	out of	10
Timeliness Subtotal	10	out of	10
FINANCIAL			
A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CoC average within project type.	7	out of	10
B. Audit 1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices 2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk' 3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	5	out of	5
	5	out of	5
	5	out of	5
C. Documented match amount	5	out of	5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	18	out of	20
Financial Subtotal	45	out of	50
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS			
Coordinated Entry Participation- 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	5	out of	5
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	5	out of	5
EQUITY FACTORS			
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies			
Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions	10	out of	10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience	10	out of	10
Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	10	out of	10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for updating policies that currently center white dominant culture	10	out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes			
Equity Factors Subtotal	40	out of	40
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA			
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of	0
TOTAL SCORE			
TOTAL SCORE	160	out of	165
Weighted Rating Score			
Weighted Rating Score	97	out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$ 65,511
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)	\$ -
Amount of private funding	\$ 16,378
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 81,889

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab