

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ VYH RRH
Valley Youth House
 Project Type: RRH (General)
 Project Identifier: 37

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 30 days after referral to RRH	<input type="text" value="39"/> days	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	90% move to PH	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="29"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project leavers	35%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="20"/> %	<input type="text" value="3"/> out of	5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> out of	5
Performance Measures Subtotal			38 out of	65
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0 out of	0
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			40 out of	40
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			40 out of	40
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
RRH (General) - Project fully expended grant in	Yes	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/> out of	5
RRH (General) - Missing data at or below	0%	<input type="text" value="8.25%"/>	<input type="text" value="7"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			12 out of	15
TOTAL SCORE			130 out of	160
Weighted Rating Score			81 out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 321,534
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 321,534
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 341838 -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 341838 -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

