

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: √ CCRS PSH Program (formerly Pennel 1 and 2) (32)
 Organization Name: Penndel Mental Health Center Inc.
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: 32

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to PSH	<input type="text" value="59"/> days	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	95% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text" value="84"/> %	<input type="text" value="15"/> out of	20
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 5% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input type="text" value="17"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	20
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="19"/> %	<input type="text" value="1.0"/> out of	5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text" value="23"/> %	<input type="text" value="3.0"/> out of	5
Performance Measures Subtotal			39 out of	70
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0 out of	0
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="20"/> out of	20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input type="text" value="100"/> %	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text" value="No"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			40 out of	40
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="10"/> out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			40 out of	40
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
RRH (General) - Project fully expended grant in	Yes	<input type="text" value="Yes"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/> out of	5
RRH (General) - Missing data at or below	0%	<input type="text" value="3%"/>	<input type="text" value="7"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			12 out of	15
TOTAL SCORE			131 out of	165
Weighted Rating Score			79 out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 162,588
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		\$ 35,843
Amount of private funding		\$ 36,788
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 235,219
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 162,588
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 162,588
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		100%

